RADIATIVE TRANSFER IN VERTICALLY STRATIFIED SOIL AND VEGETATION BOUNDARY

Fuzhong Weng

NOAA/NESDIS/Center for Satellite Applications and Research

E-mail: Fuzhong.Weng@noaa.gov

and

Sungwook Hong, ERT Inc Banghua Yan, Perot System Inc.

ABSTRACT

This study presents a radiative transfer scheme for deriving microwave emissivity and reflectivity for a vertically stratified soil and vegetation boundary. The attenuation (or absorption) coefficients of each soil layer are derived from the conservation of the energy flaw which allows for coupling and solving EM Poyning vector (Witheit, 1978). In computations of soil attenuation coefficients at horizontal and vertical polarizations, soil dielectric constants are needed and parameterized as a function of soil and clar fraction, soil volumetric water content, soil and solving metations does a soil energenature of the strategy time density, and soil temperature so called mixing rule (Dobson et al., 1985). Brightness temperatures from multi-layer soil are based on the emission-based radiative transfer scheme and them used as a lower boundary condition for vegetation scattering medium. It is shown that two-stream radiative transfer scheme previously developed by Weng et al. (JGR,2001) simulates well brightness temperatures at microwave frequencies.

INTRODUCTION

Variability of land surface emissivity and its spectra are not simulated well over many surfaces types. This uncertainty is continuing to be a major obstacle that affects suellite data assimilation over land and remote sensing of land surface properties such as soil moisture, snow, vegetation water content. In recent years, there have been numerous studies to explore the uses of satellite data to construct global emissivity atlas and to use the retrieved emissivity are affected by the uncertainty may be associated with spatial inhomogeneity of land surface groups (groups and emissivity are affected by the uncertainty may be associated with spatial inhomogeneity of land structure groups and cloads and in surface skin temperature. Also the uncertainty may be associated with spatial inhomogeneity of land structe properties. Furthermore, the emissivity spectra can only be derived from satellites at specific viewing geometry and specific wavelengths

emissivity spectra can only be derived from satellites at specific viewing geometry and specific wavelengths. The modeling of emissivity over land is also progressing. Microwave emissivity spectra were simulated over a limited range of frequencies and surface conditions. For bare soil, emissivity was derived as a function of soil moisture, soil textural components (e.g., (lay and sand), and surface roughness (Choodhury et al., 1979; Wang and Schmugge, 1980). The emissivity of vegetation canopy was also simulated using a simplified at low frequencies like I-hand. Recently, more sophisticated radiative transfer schemes were proposed to simulate the offects of the vegetation canopy on microwave emissivity (Wegmuller et al., 1979; Fung. 1984; Kerr and Njoka, 1990; Forth snow-covered surfaces, where ic ee particles have a high fractional volume, the optical parameters were approximated using an effective wave propagation constant for the medium (Tsang et al., 1985; Alternative), the Mite phase matrix used in the radiative transfer equation was modified to account for scattering interaction of closely-spaced scatteres (Fung, 1994).

In formulating the microwave land emissivity model (Weng et al., 2001), soil is considered as a semi-infinite and vertically homogeneous medium thus its reflectivity can be derived using a simple Freenel equation. The model works well for microwave higher frequencies where the pertentiand epth is smaller. At L-C bands, microwave relation may arise from deeper soil and requires much more sophisticated algorithms. This study integrates several radiative transfer components developed in the past with our previous microwave emissivity model for improved performance at all the microwave refrequencies from 1 to 300 GHz.

MULTI-LAYER LAND EMISSIVITY MODEL

1. Model Description



Dobson et al. (1985) de of al. 2001) which is $e_m^n = 1 + \frac{\rho_b}{\rho_s}(e_1^n - 1) + m_c^2 e_m^n - m_c$ where m_{e} is the soil volumetric moisture, z_{e} is the dielectric constant of solids, and ρ_{e} is the density of soil, ρ_{e} is the density of solids, which are calculated from sand and day fraction. The exponents, α_{e} of are depending on soil type.

 $\alpha = 0.65$ $\beta = 1.09 - 0.11S + 0.19C$ $\varepsilon_{*} = (1.01 + 0.44 + \rho_{*})^{2} - 0.062$ (5.32) (5.32) (5.34) tion dielectric model: Vegeta



$v_{10g} = 1.7 - (0.74 - 6.16m_g)m_g + m_g * (0.55m_g - 0.076)$ $[4.9 + 75.0/(1 + y_i) - y_i] + 1$ $4.64m_g^2/(1 + 7.36m_g^2)[2.9 + 55.0/(1.0 + \sqrt{y_i})]$ $y_i = \dot{w}/18.0$ ever = (5.16)

2. Simulated Brightness Temperature from Soil



Figure 1. Brightness temperature at 1.4, 6.9, 10.7, and 19.3 GHz vs. (a) viewing angle and (b) frequency



Figure 2. (a) Weighting function at 1.4, 6.9, 10.7, and 19.3 GHz in normal soil condition ($m_v = 0.1$) and (b) in wet soil condition ($m_v = 0.3$)



SYNTHETIC GLOBAL L-BAND SIMULATIONS USING **NOAH MODEL OUTPUTS**

1. NOAH Surface Model Outputs

GDAS land surface model (NOAH) outputs

SERVICE and Surface model (NOAH) outputs GFS Data Assimilation System (GDAS) used at NCEP assimilates a variety of conventional data from radiosonde, busy, ship, and airborne, statilite radiances, -derived produces. Global analyses are generated at four synopic hours: 0000, 0660, 1200, and 1800 UTC. As part of GDAS, land surface parameters are also produced through its land data assimilation system called NOAH. The key NOAH parameters include skin temperature, soil volumetric water content, snow depth, soil temperature, global vegetation coverage, canopy water content, land surface vegetation type, surface wind vector at 10 m height, total precipitable water, temperature and relative humidity at 2 m height, and surface pressure.

Soil moisture and vegetation parameters used for radiative transfer

m; Volumetric soil moisture content. W: Canopy water content (kg/m²). Global distribution from NOAH is shown in Fig. 4. Simulated global L-band TB is generalized by allowing for all the other variables fixed with variable soil moisture and canopy water content from four NOAH



Figure 4. Global maps of soil moisture content (a) and canopy water content (b) from NOAH for Jan. 31, 2008. The cases are excluded if the snow depth from NOAH is larger than 0.



Figure 5. Global maps of simulated L-band TBv and TBh from NOAH model outputs for Jan. 31, 2008.

2. L-Band Correlation with Soil Moisture



Figure 6. (a) Brightness temperature at 1.4 GHz vs. soil moisture content and (b) polarization difference vs. canopy water content

SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK

Brightness temperatures at L-Band are simulated well from a multi-layer soil radiative transfer model and display sensitivity to several critical composition parameters such as sand and clay fraction, volumetric moisture content, and surface roughness. It is demonstrated that the polarization difference at L-Dands is a good midicator for vegetation canopy and surface roughness.

Currently, vegetation canopy is handled as a single layer scattering medium with an effective emissivity and reflectivity and surface skin temperature as a lower boundary condition. Optic parameters at L-band is parameterized as a function of volumetric (or gravimetric) canopy water content using the small particle theory at LO bands and can also be computed directly from the geometric optics at high frequencies.

Our next studies will include 1) a full coupling of radiative transfer scheme among soil, vegetation and atmosphere and 2) development of an interface of land emissivity model with Community Radiative Transfer Model (CRTM) for improved radiance assimilation for L-C band radiometers.

REFERENCES

, and A. T. C. Chang, 1979: Two-s Dobson, M. C., F. T. Ulaby, M. T. Hallinkainen, and M. A. El-Rayes, 1985: Microwave dielectric behavior of wet soil, part II, Dielectric mixing models, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., 25, 541–549 Kerr, Y. H., and E. G. Njoku, 1990: A semi-empirical model for interpreting microwave emission from semiarid land surface as seen from space, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens., GE-28(3), 384–393.

Ken, J. F., and E. G. Sylka, T. yo, A sumerappical moder to mergeneing microwave emission from seman analysis Marzler, C., 1944. Knowave (1-10) GHz) idelectic: model of leaves, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens, 23, 947-949. Marzler, C., 1944b: Passive microwave signatures of landscapes in winter, Meteorol. Anno. Phys., 54, 241–260. Mo, T., B. J. Choudhary, T. J. Schmagge, and J. R. Wang. 1982: A model for microwave emission from vegetation covers

rred fields, J. Geophys. Res., 87, 11,229-11,237.

Pregent, C., J. Jamouille, F. Chevaller, F. Aires, 2008. A parameterization of the microwave land surface emissivity between 19 and 100 GHz, ackored to satellite derived estimates, IEEE Trans. Geoc. Remote Sens, 46, 344-352

Ruston, B., F. Weng, B. Yan, 2008: uses of a one-demensional variational retrieval to diagon Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens, 46, 393-402 ate of infrared and microwave surface emissvitity over land for ATOVS so Ulaby, F. T., and M. A. E. Rayers, 1987: Microwave dielectric spectrum of vegetation, part II, Dual-dispersion model, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Ren ns., GE-25, 550-557

vany, 1. v., and P. J. Choudhury, Providence ensing of solid material content over a regulatory part of parameters of the solid variable of the solid v

Wilheit, T.T., 1978. Radiative transfer in a plane stratified dielectric. IEEE Trans.Geosci. Electron., 16, 138-143.

Wermiller, U., C. Matzler, and E. G. Njoka, 1995, Canopy opacity models, in Passive Microwave remote sensing of land-atmosphere interactions, B. et al., Ed. Unceht, The Netherlands: VSP, 375-387. Zhou, L. et al., 2008: Regression of surface spectral emissivity from hyperpectral instruments, IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens, 46, 307-315

International TOVS Study Conference, 16th, ITSC-16, Angra dos Reis, Brazil, 7-13 May 2008. Madison, WI, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Space Science and Engineering Center, Cooperative Institute for Meteorological Satellite Studies, 2008.